

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/643,259	08/22/2000	Takashi Yamaguchi	0649-0758P-SP	9019
7590 03/07/2002 Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMI	NER .
P O Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
Falls Church, V	A 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	6
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	09/643259	Yamaguchi etal. Group Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	3/10	M 11.11.2
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	9	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by states 	reply within the statutory minin t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	uary 22	2007
This action is FINAL.		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	ot for formal matters, pros 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	secution as to the merits is closed in 3.
Disposition of Claims		ic/ore pending in the application
Claim(s) 1-10		
Of the above claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		s/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1 - 1 0		
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
Claim(s) Claim(s)		is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) ☐		are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	ing Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numerice)	ing Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ing Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on Interpreted in Application is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num received in this national stage application from the light *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	ing Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The proposed drawing correction, filed on Inte drawing(s) filed on Inte specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num received in this national stage application from the light s	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents of the priority documents.	are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,259

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is excluded by crosslinking assistant that causes smell or safety problem (claim 1), crosslinking assistant (claim 9), or crosslinking assistant such as styrene (claim 10). As these terms are not defined in the specification, it is not clear what constitutes a smell or safety problem, whether crosslinking assistant encompasses the curing aid disclosed at page 9, second paragraph, and what is encompassed by a crosslinking assistant such as styrene. See *Ex parte Steigewald* 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961). MPEP 2173.05(d).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

į

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Osborne. The reference was discussed in the previous Office action. Additionally, unsaturated monomers include monomers other than

Art Unit: 1712

styrene. See col. 3, lines 33-37. Use of a monomer other than styrene, such as an acrylate or diallyl phthalate, is anticipated by or would have been obvious in order to avoid the art recognized odor problem of styrene. It is not clear which, if any, of these monomers are excluded from the claimed invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osborne. The rejection is applied as in the previous Office action and as discussed above.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Van Gasse. The reference was discussed in the previous Office action. Additionally, unsaturated monomers include monomers other than styrene. See col. 3, lines 4-9. Use of a monomer other than styrene, such as an acrylate or diallyl phthalate, is anticipated by or would have been obvious in order to avoid the art recognized odor problem of styrene. It is not clear which, if any, of these monomers are excluded from the claimed invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1712

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

P. Short

February 28, 2002

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9311

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Patricia Ce. Sunt